

Problem Set 2

Due by 5pm on Friday, February 18
Submission via Gradescope and GitHub

Problem 1 (60 points)

Define a function $\mathbf{diff} \in \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by: for all $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$,

$$\mathbf{diff} w = \text{the number of 0's in } w - 2(\text{the number of 1's in } w).$$

Thus:

- $\mathbf{diff} \% = 0$;
- $\mathbf{diff} 0 = 1$;
- $\mathbf{diff} 1 = -2$; and
- for all $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^*$, $\mathbf{diff}(xy) = \mathbf{diff} x + \mathbf{diff} y$.

And, $\mathbf{diff} w = 0$ iff w has twice as many 0's as it has 1's.

Let X be the least subset of $\{0, 1\}^*$ such that:

- (1) $\% \in X$;
- (2) for all $x, y \in X$, $0x0y1 \in X$;
- (3) for all $x, y \in X$, $0x1y0 \in X$;
- (4) for all $x, y \in X$, $1x0y0 \in X$; and
- (5) for all $x, y \in X$, $xy \in X$.

Let $Y = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \mathbf{diff} w = 0\}$.

- (a) Use induction on X to prove that $X \subseteq Y$. [20 points]
- (b) Use strong string induction to prove that $Y \subseteq X$. Your proof should be “constructive” in the sense that an algorithm for explaining why elements of Y are in X can be extracted from it. [40 points]

Problem 2 (40 points)

The context for this problem is Problem 1 and the Forlan/SML file `ps2-framework.sml` (see the course website). Among the definitions in this file are the following datatype and functions:

```
datatype expl =
  Rule1                (* % *)
| Rule2 of expl * expl (* 0x0y1 *)
| Rule3 of expl * expl (* 0x1y0 *)
| Rule4 of expl * expl (* 1x0y0 *)
| Rule5 of expl * expl (* xy *)
val printExplanation : expl -> unit
val test              : (str -> expl) -> str -> unit
```

A value of type `expl` explains why a string is in X . The five constructors correspond to the five rules of X 's definition. `Rule1` explains that $\% \in X$ because of rule (1) of X 's definition. And, if $expl_1$ and $expl_2$ are values of `expl`, then:

- `Rule2(expl1, expl2)` explains that $0x_10x_21 \in X$ because of rule (2), where x_1 and x_2 are the strings whose membership in X are explained by $expl_1$ and $expl_2$, respectively;
- `Rule3(expl1, expl2)` explains that $0x_11x_20 \in X$ because of rule (3), where x_1 and x_2 are the strings whose membership in X are explained by $expl_1$ and $expl_2$, respectively;
- `Rule4(expl1, expl2)` explains that $1x_10x_20 \in X$ because of rule (4), where x_1 and x_2 are the strings whose membership in X are explained by $expl_1$ and $expl_2$, respectively; and
- `Rule5(expl1, expl2)` explains that $x_1x_2 \in X$ because of rule (5), where x_1 and x_2 are the strings whose membership in X are explained by $expl_1$ and $expl_2$, respectively.

E.g.,

```
Rule5(Rule2(Rule1, Rule4(Rule1, Rule1)), Rule3(Rule1, Rule1))
```

explains why the string `001001010` is in X :

```
001001010 = 001001 @ 010 is in X, by rule (5)
  001001 = 0 @ % @ 0 @ 100 @ 1 is in X, by rule (2)
    % is in X, by rule (1)
    100 = 1 @ % @ 0 @ % @ 0 is in X, by rule (4)
      % is in X, by rule (1)
      % is in X, by rule (1)
    010 = 0 @ % @ 1 @ % @ 0 is in X, by rule (3)
      % is in X, by rule (1)
      % is in X, by rule (1)
```

The function `printExplanation` turns elements of `expl` into such human-readable explanations.

Your job is to define a function

```
val explain : str -> expl
```

that, when given an element w of Y , returns a value of type `expl` that explains why w is in X . (When called with a w that is not in Y , it doesn't matter what your function returns, or even whether it returns.)

As closely as possible, make the structure of your function definition match the structure of the proof you gave in Problem 1(b). In particular: induction in the proof should correspond to recursion in your function definition; division into cases in the proof should correspond to the use of conditionals/pattern matching in the function definition; and the proof and use of any lemma in the proof should correspond to the definition and use of an auxiliary function in your function definition.

You can test your definition of `explain` using the function `test`. If w is in Y , then `test explain w` evaluates `printExplanation(explain w)`; otherwise, it explains why w is not in Y . E.g., you can proceed as follows:

```
val doit = test explain;
doit(Str.fromString "%");
doit(Str.fromString "001");
doit(Str.fromString "000100011");
```

and so on.

Your solution should reside in a file called `ps2-explain.sml`. This file should not include—either textually or via a call to `use`—the contents of `ps2-framework.sml`. Instead, you should load (using `use`) `ps2-framework.sml` once at the beginning of a Forlan session.

Submission via Private GitHub Repository

So that you can privately submit Forlan/sml code in a machine-readable form, you will need to create a *private* GitHub repository and grant me (GitHub account: `alleystoughton`) access to it. If you don't already have a GitHub account, you will need to create one first. Your solution to `ps2-explain.sml` along with a copy of `ps2-framework.sml` should reside in a subdirectory `CS516-PS2` of your repository. In your Gradescope submission, you should include a Forlan transcript showing how you tested your definition of `explain`. (You don't need to include a listing of `ps2-explain.sml` as part of your Gradescope submission.)